

0040-4039(94)E0121-D

A Novel Monocyclic Dienediyne System: Synthesis and Mode of Aromatization

Kazunobu Toshima,* Koji Yanagawa, Kazumi Ohta, **Tskaaki Kano and Masaya Nakata**

Department of Applied Chemistry, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223, Japan

Abstract: The novel monocyclic dienediyne 2, which is a simplified analogue of the neocarzinostatin chromophore (1), was synthesized from D-xylitol via conversion of the keto-aldehyde 13 into the highly strained 10-membered ring compound **14 by a simple intramolecular aldol condensation as the key step. The mode of cycloaromatization of 2 by a thiol addition,** reminiscent of the chemistry of 1, was also demonstrated.

The neocarzinostatin chromophore $(1)^{1,2}$ is the labile heart of neocarzinostatin, which is an antitumor antibiotic isolated from Streptomyces carrinostaticus by Ishida et al. in 1965³, and plays a significant role in the biological activity of neocarzinostatin.^{2,4} The bicyclic moiety of 1 containing the dienediyne system is now recognized to be responsible for the DNA damaging properties.^{5,6} Because of the stimulant biological **background and the unique** structure of 1, many groups **have** focused on the synthesis of the core units **M** *the* analogues of 1.⁷ From a synthetic standpoint, complex methods were generally required for construction of the highly strained medium membered ring structure. Furthermore, synthesis and mode of action of a monocyclic **system containing a** dienediyne function were rarely studied. **In** this communication, we wish to report the synthesis of **a novel IO-membered monocyclic dienediyne compound 2, which is** a **highly** simplified analogue of the neocarzinostatin **chromophore (l), by a simple intramolecular aldol condensation and its** cycloaromatization profile by a thiol addition related to the mode of action of 1 in the DNA cleaving activity.

D-Xylitol 3 was selected as a cheap and readily available starting material for this synthesis. The primary alcohols of 3 were selectively protected with pivaloyl groups (2.5 equiv. PvCl, Py, 26"C, 15h) to give

48 in 58% yield. The trio1 4 was converted **into the diol** 68 **by standard** manners in two steps (i. 4.5 equiv. TBSCI, 5.0 equiv. imidazole, DMF, 80°C, 12h, 95%; ii. 4.3 equiv. DIBAL, PhMe, -78°C, 40min, 96%) in 91% overall yield. Swem oxidation (3.0 equiv. (COCl)2, 4.0 equiv. DMSO, 10 equiv. Et3N, CH2Cl2, $-78\rightarrow$ O°C, 1.5h) of 6, followed by bromo-olefination of the resulting crude dialdehyde 7 by Corey's method⁹ $(4.0 \text{ equiv. } CBr_4$, 8.0 equiv. PPh3, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 0.5h) gave the tetrabromide 8^8 in 97% overall yield. The tetrabromo compound 8 was treated with 6.0 equiv. of n-BuLi /hexane in THF at 0°C for 15min and then 10 equiv. of CICOOMe at 0° C for 10min to give 9^8 in 86% yield. Reduction of the methyl ester of 9 with 3.0 equiv. of DIBAL in toluene at -78 \rightarrow 0°C for 0.5h afforded the desired mono-aldehyde 10⁸ (45%) and the diol 118 (38%), the latter of which was selectively converted into 10 by the Dess-Martin oxidation¹⁰ (0.8 equiv Dess-Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, O^oC, 0.5h, 53%). The Grignard reaction (4.5 equiv. MeMgBr, ether, 25^oC, IOmin, 95%) of IO afforded 128 which was subjected to the Dess-Martin oxidation (3.2 equiv. Dess-Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, 26^oC, 45min, 99%) to give the keto-aldehyde 13⁸ in 94% overall yield from 10. The key one-step conversion of 13 into the highly strained cyclic system was best effected by using 2.0 equiv. of 1.0M LiOH in EtOH (0.005 M for 13) at 26° C for 3h to afford the monocyclic product 14^8 [MS-CI m/z 535 $(M+H^+)$] and the dimer⁸ [MS-CI m/z 1069 (M+H⁺)] in 38% and 17% yields, respectively. Notably, the 10membered ring keto-enediyne compound 14 was found **to be** stable in air or ambient light at Toom temperature. Although the Wittig reaction using Ph3P=CH2 and the Horner-Emmons reaction using (McO)₂P(O)Me and base were tried to introduce an olefinic function onto 14, both attempts failed because of the low reactivity of the highly conjugated ketone of 14. The desired dienediyne system of 168 was obtained in two steps *via* dehydration of 15^8 (i. 2.2 equiv. MeLi, ether, 0° C, 10min; ii. 4.0 equiv. MsCl, 8.0 equiv. Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0° C, 20 min) in 57% overall yield. Finally, the dienediyne compound 2^{8} having good leaving groups, acetyl groups, at suitable position for the cyclization using a thiol was synthesized by standard desilylation following acetylation (3.3 equiv. TBAF, THF, 0°C, 30min and then 6.0 equiv. Ac₂O, 8.0 equiv. Et3N, 0.1 equiv. 4-DMAP, 46%) without isolation of the extremely unstable triol 17. Compared with the high stability of 14, the IO-membered ring dienediyne compounds 2 and 16 were considerably unstable especially when kept neat.

Addition of methyl thioglycolate (3.0 equiv.) to 2 in the presence of triethylamine (1.0 equiv.) in MeOH at 26^oC for 1h gave the benzenoid products 20⁸ and 21⁸ in 11.8% and 4.8% yields, respectively.¹¹ A similar experiment conducted in deuteriated solvent, MeOH- d_4 , afforded 20 and 21 with the indicated levels of deuterium incorporation. Although the mechanism of the production of 20 is not definite,¹² the formation of 21 clearly suggest that the monocyclic dienediyne 2 undergoes an addition of thiol to produce the enynecumulene 18, which proceeds the cycloaromatization leading to the diradical 19. The intermediate 19 undergoes a particularly effective intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer from the methylene group of the methyl thioglycolate moiety.¹³

In conclusion, the present work shows not only the synthesis of a novel monocyclic dienediyne system related to the neocarzinostatin chromophore but also its mode of action by a thiol addition. Our results demonstrate that even such a simple monocyclic **model containing a dienediyne system has the ability to** produce the benzenoid product. Considering the proposed mechanism of DNA cleavage by the neocarzinostatin chromophore (1),^{5,6} 2 has an indispensable structure and chemical property for this purpose. The evolution of **the biological activity of 2 and its analogues is now in progress.**

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the Institute of Microbial Chemistry for the generous support of our program. We also thank Mr. Tatsuya Ohtake for his early contribution to this project. Financial supports by The Kurata Foundation and Terumo Life Science Foundation are gratefully acknowledged. **References and Notes**

- 1. Napier, M. A.; Holmquist. B.; Strydom, D. J.; Goldberg, I. H. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1979. 89, 635.*
- 2. Koide, Y.; Ishii, F.; Hasuda. K.; Koyama, Y.; Edo, K.; Katamine. S.; Kitame, F.; Ishida. N. J. *Antibiot. 1930, 33, 342.*
- Ishida. N.; Miyazaki, K.; Kumagai, K.; Rikimaru. M. J. *Antibiot.* **1965.** 18.68. 3.
- Kapper, L. S.; Napier, M. A.; Goldberg, I. H. Proc. Nut!. **Acad.** *Sci. U. S. A.* **1980. 77, 1970.** 4.
- 5. Myers, **A. G.** *Tetrahedron Lett. 1987,28,4493.*
- 6. Myers, A. G.; Proteau, P. J.; Handel, T. M. J. *Am. Chem. Sot.* **1988,** 110.7212.
- $\overline{7}$. (a) Wender, P. A.; Harmata. M.; Jeffrey, D.; Mukai, C.; Suffert., J. *Tetrahedron L-en. 1988, 29,909,* (b) Wender, P. A.; McKinney, **J. A.; Mukai. C.** *J.* **Am. Chem. Sot. 1990,** 112,5369. (c) Wender, P. A.; Tebbe, M. J. *Tetrahedron Left. 1991, 32, 4863.* (d) Hirama, M.; Fujiwara, K.; Shigematu, K.; Fukazawa, Y. *J.* **Am.** *Chem. Sot.* **1989,** 111, 4120. (e) Fujiwara, K.; Kurisaki, A.; Hirama, M. *Tetrahedron Len. 1990,31,4329. (f)* Hirama, M.; Tokuda, M.; Fujiwara, K. *Synlett* **1991, 651. (9) Fujiwara, K.; Sakai, H.;** Hirama, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991.56, 1688. (h)** Doi, T.; Takahashi, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991, 56. 3465.** (i) Myers, A. G.; Htington, P. M.; Kuo, E. Y. J. *Am. Chem. Sot. 1991,* 113, 694. (j) Myers, A. G.; Dragovich. P. S. J. *Am. Chem. Sot.* 1993, 115, 7021. (k) Toshima, K.; Ohta, K.; Ohtake, T.; Tatsuta, K. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 391. (I)* Toshima, K.; Ohta, K.; Ohtake, T.; Tatsuta, K. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* 1991,694. (m) Toshima, K.; Ohta. K.; Ohashi, A.; Ohtsuka, A.; Nakata, M.; Tatsuta, K. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* **1992,** 1306. (n) Toshima, K.; Ohta, K.; Ohtsuka, A.; Matsumura. S.; Nalcata, M. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* **1993,** *1406. (0)* Toshima, K.; Ohta, K.; Ohashi, A.; Nakamura. T.; Nakata, M.; Matsumura, S. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* **1993, 1525.** (p) Magnus. P.; Pitterna, T.; *J. Chem. Sot., Chem.* **Commun. 1991, 541.** (q) Magnus, P.; Davies. M. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* **1991, 1522. (r)** Nakatani, K.; Arai, K.; Hirayama, N.; Matsuda, F.; Terashima, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, 31, 2323. (s) Nakatani, K.; Arai, K.; Terashima, S. J. *Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun. 1992, 289.* (t) Nakatani, K.; Arai, K.; Yamada, K.; Terashima, S. *Tetrahedron 1992,48, 3045. (u)* Nakatani, K.; Arai, K.; Terashima, S. *Tetrahedron* 1993, 49, 1901. (v) Suffert, J. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1990, 31, 7437. (w) Brückner, R.; Scheuplein, S. W.; Suffert, J. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32,* 1449. (x) Wehlage, T.; Krebs, A.; Link, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990,** 31,662s. (y) Petasis N. A.; Teets, K. A. *Tetrahedron L&t. 1993. 34, 805. (z)* Scheuplein, S. W.; Machinek, R.; Suffert, J.; Britckner, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** 34, 6549.
- **8.** All new compounds were purified by silica-gel column chromatography and were fully characterrized by spectoscopic means. Significant ¹H-NMR spectra [270MHz, CDCl₃. δ (TMS), J(Hz)] are the following. 13: 2.32 (3H, s, Me), 3.80 (lH, dd, J=4.4 and 4.4, H-5), 4.77 (2H, d, J=4.4, H-4 and 6), 9.21 (lH, s, CHO); 14: 3.79 (lH, t, J=5.0, H-7), 4.5-4.6 (lH, m, H-6). 4.56 (lH, d, J=5.0, H-8). 6.33 (lH, dd. J=12.0 and 2.0, H-3), 6.41 (lH, d, J=12.0, H-2); 2: 2.05 (3H, s, OAc), 2.07 (3H, s. OAc), 2.09 (3H, s. OAc), 5.46 (lH, t, J=9.0, H-7), 5.49 (lH, d, J=12.0, H-2 or 3), 5.51 (lH, s, =CH2), 5.55 $(H, s, =CH_2)$, 5.67 (1H, d, J=9.0, H-6 or 8), 5.72 (1H, d, J=9.0, H-6 or 8), 6,34 (1H, d, J=12.0, H-2 or 3); 20: 2.06 (3H, s, OAc), 2.09 (3H, s, OAc), 3.13 (2H, s, -SCH2COOMe), 3.67 (2H, s, $-SCH_2COOMe$), 3.74 (3H, s, $-COOMe$), 3.76 (3H, s, $-COOMe$), 3.88 (2H, s, $-CH_2SCH_2COOMe$), 5.58 (1H, ddd, J=7.2, 4.0 and 1.6, -C<u>H</u>-OAc), 6.03 (1H, dd, J=10.0 and 4.0, -CH=C<u>H</u>-), 6.13 (1H d, J=7.2, -C<u>H</u>-OAc), 6.88 (1H, br d, J=10.0, -C<u>H</u>=CH-), 7.24 (1H, br s, aromatic), 7.27 (1H, br s, aromatic). 21 (acetone- d_6): 3.17 (2H, s, $-SCH_2COOWe$), 3.67 (3H, s, $-COOWe$), 3.86 (2H, s, $-CH_2SCH_2COOMe$, 5.54 (1H, ddd, J=6.4, 4.0 and 1.2, $-CH_2OAc$), 5.98 (1H, dd, J=10.0 and 4.0, $-CH=CH-$), 6.11 (1H, d, J=6.4, $-CH-OAC$), 6.71 (1H, br d, J=10.0, $-CH=CH-$), 7.23 (1H, d, J=7.9, aromatic), 7.33 (lH, d, J=l.S, aromatic), 7.35 (lH, dd, J=7.9 and 1.8, aromatic).
- **9.** Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 13,3769.*
- 10. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. *Chem. 1983.48, 4155.*
- 11. The structure of 20 was ascertained by the observation of NOE experiments. Thus irradiation at the olefinic proton at 6.88 ppm resonance frequency caused NOE of the protons (9.8%) at benzyl position.
- 12. When 0.3 equiv. of HSCH₂CO₂Me was used in the aromatization reaction, a significant decrease in the yield of 20 was observed, and 20 and 21 were obtained in 3.5% and 4.5% yields, respectively. The mechanism of the formation of 20 is now under investigation.
- 13. For related observation, see: (a) Refs. 7c and 7j. (b) Chin, D. -H.; Golgberg, I. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992,** 114, 1914.

(Received in Japan 30 Ocwber 1993; *accepted* 22 *December 1993)*